

JAN KUREK and WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI

On lifting of 2-vector fields to r -jet prolongation of the tangent bundle

ABSTRACT. If $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$, we prove that any natural linear operator A lifting 2-vector fields $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM)$ (i.e., skew-symmetric tensor fields of type $(2, 0)$) on m -dimensional manifolds M into 2-vector fields $A(\Lambda)$ on r -jet prolongation $J^r TM$ of the tangent bundle TM of M is the zero one.

Introduction. All manifolds considered in this paper are assumed to be finite dimensional and smooth. Maps between manifolds are assumed to be smooth (of C^∞).

Let $\mathcal{M}f_m$ be the category of m -dimensional manifolds and their submersions and \mathcal{VB} be the category of vector bundles and their vector bundle homomorphisms.

The r -jet prolongation of the tangent bundle over m -manifolds is the (vector bundle) functor $J^r T : \mathcal{M}f_m \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ sending any m -manifold M into the vector bundle $J^r TM$ of r -jets $j_x^r X$ at points $x \in M$ of vector fields X on M and every $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ into $J^r T\varphi : J^r TM \rightarrow J^r TN$ given by $J^r T\varphi(j_x^r X) = j_{\varphi(x)}^r (T\varphi \circ X \circ \varphi^{-1})$.

An $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$ is an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -invariant family of \mathbf{R} -linear regular operators (functions)

$$A : \Gamma\left(\bigwedge^2 TM\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\bigwedge^2 T(J^r TM)\right)$$

for m -manifolds M , where $\Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TN)$ is the vector space of 2-vector fields (i.e., skew-symmetric tensor fields of type $(2, 0)$) on a manifold N . The invariance of A means that if $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM)$ and $\Lambda_1 \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM_1)$ are φ -related (i.e., $\bigwedge^2 T\varphi \circ \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \circ \varphi$) for a $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map $\varphi : M \rightarrow M_1$, then $A(\Lambda)$ and $A(\Lambda_1)$ are $J^r T\varphi$ -related.

The main result of the present note can be written as follows.

Theorem 0.1. *If $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$, then any natural linear operator A lifting 2-vector fields $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM)$ on m -manifolds M into 2-vector fields $A(\Lambda) \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 T(J^r TM))$ on $J^r TM$ is the zero one.*

The general concept of natural operators can be found in the fundamental monograph [2]. Natural operators lifting 2-vector fields can be applied in investigations of Poisson structures. That is why, they are studied in many papers, see e.g. [1, 3].

From now on, the usual coordinates on \mathbf{R}^m will be denoted by x^1, \dots, x^m . The usual canonical vector fields on \mathbf{R}^m will be denoted by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$.

1. Some lemmas. The proof of Theorem 0.1 will occupy the rest of the note. We start with several lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. Assume that $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$ for $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $A = 0$.*

Proof. To prove that $A = 0$, it is sufficient to show that $A(\Lambda)|_{j_x^r Y} = 0$ for any m -manifold M , any $x \in M$, any $Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and any $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM)$.

Of course, we may (without loss of generality) assume $Y|_x \neq 0$. Then by the invariance of A with respect to charts and the Frobenius theorem we may assume $M = \mathbf{R}^m$, $x = 0$ and $Y = \partial_1$. Since A is linear, we may assume that $\Lambda = f Z_1 \wedge Z_2$, where $f : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and Z_1 and Z_2 are constant vector fields on \mathbf{R}^m . Moreover, we may assume that ∂_1, Z_1, Z_2 are \mathbf{R} -linearly independent. Then, because of the invariance of A with respect to linear isomorphisms, we may assume that $Z_1 = \partial_2$ and $Z_2 = \partial_3$. Then by the multi-linear Peetre theorem (Theorem 19.9 in [2]) we may assume that $f = (x_1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2)^{\alpha_2} (x_3)^{\alpha_3} \dots (x_m)^{\alpha_m}$ is an arbitrary monomial.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be arbitrary non-negative integers. There exists a 0-preserving $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map $\varphi = (x^1, \varphi^2(x^2), x^3, \dots, x^m)$ preserving x^1, x^3, \dots, x^m , ∂_1, ∂_3 and sending (the germ at 0 of) ∂_2 into (the germ at 0 of) $\partial_2 + (x^2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2$. Then by the invariance of A with respect to φ , from the assumption $A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$, we get

$$A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 + (x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0.$$

Then $A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$. Furthermore, there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map $\psi = (x^1, x^2, \psi^3(x^3, \dots, x^m), \dots, \psi^m(x^3, \dots, x^m))$ preserving 0, x^1 ,

x^2 , ∂_1 , ∂_2 and sending the germ at 0 of ∂_3 into the germ at 0 of $\partial_3 + (x^3)^{\alpha_3} \dots (x^m)^{\alpha_m} \partial_3$. Then by the invariance of A with respect to ψ , from the equality $A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$, we get

$$A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 + (x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} (x^3)^{\alpha_3} \dots (x^m)^{\alpha_m} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0.$$

Then $A((x^1)^{\alpha_1} (x^2)^{\alpha_2} (x^3)^{\alpha_3} \dots (x^m)^{\alpha_m} \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$. The lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 1.2. (Lemma 42.4 in [2]) *Let N be a n -manifold and $x_o \in N$ be a point. Let X and Y be vector fields on a manifold N such that $X|_{x_o} \neq 0$ and $j_{x_o}^r(X) = j_{x_o}^r(Y)$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_n$ -map φ such that $j_{x_o}^{r+1}(\varphi) = j_{x_o}^{r+1}(\text{id})$ and $(\varphi)_*Y = X$ on some neighborhood of x_o .*

Lemma 1.3. *Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. Assume that $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$ for $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then $A = 0$.*

Proof. Let $q \geq r+1$ be an integer. Since $j_0^r \partial_2 = j_0^r(\partial_2 + (x^1)^q \partial_2)$, then (by Lemma 1.2) there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map

$$\varphi = (\varphi^1(x^1, x^2), \varphi^2(x^1, x^2), x^3, \dots, x^m)$$

preserving ∂_3 , sending the germ at 0 of ∂_2 into the germ at 0 of $\partial_2 + (x^1)^q \partial_2$ and such that $j_x^{r+1} \varphi = j_0^{r+1}(\text{id})$. Then φ preserves $j_0^r \partial_1$. Using the invariance of A with respect to φ , from assumption $A(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$, we get $A(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 + (x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$. So, $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$. Then $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r \partial_1} = 0$ for any $q = 0, 1, \dots$. So, $A = 0$ because of Lemma 1.1. The lemma is complete. \square

Let $\mathcal{J}^r(X^C)$ be the flow lift of a vector field X on M to $J^r TM$ and $\mathcal{J}^r(X^V)$ be the vertical lift of X to $J^r TM$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}^r(X^V)|_{j_x^r Y} = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} (j_x^r Y + t j_x^r X).$$

Lemma 1.4. *Let X be a vector field on a manifold M such that $X|_{x_o} = 0$ for some point $x_o \in M$. Let $\rho = j_{x_o}^r Y \in J^r T_{x_o} M$. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}^r(X^C)|_\rho = -\frac{d}{d\tau}|_{\tau=0} (\rho + \tau j_{x_o}^r([X, Y])) = -\mathcal{J}^r([X, Y]^V)_\rho,$$

where the bracket is the usual one on vector fields.

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the flow of X . Then $\{J^r T \varphi_t\}$ is the flow of $\mathcal{J}^r(X^C)$ and $\varphi_t(x_o) = x_o$ for any sufficiently small t . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^r(X^C)|_\rho &= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} J^r T \varphi_t(j_{x_o}^r(Y)) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} j_{x_o}^r((\varphi_t)_* Y) \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} j_{x_o}^r((\varphi_{-t})_* Y) = -\frac{d}{d\tau}|_{\tau=0} (\rho + \tau j_{x_o}^r([X, Y])). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.5. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, the collection consisting of*

$$v_i(\lambda) := \mathcal{J}^r((\partial_i)^C)|_{j_0^r(\lambda\partial_1)} \text{ and } V_j^\alpha(\lambda) := \mathcal{J}^r((x^\alpha\partial_j)^V)|_{j_0^r(\lambda\partial_1)}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \leq r$ is the basis in $T_{j_0^r(\lambda\partial_1)} J^r T \mathbf{R}^m$. Of course, $x^\alpha := (x^1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (x^m)^{\alpha_m}$.

Proof. We have $V_j^\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} (j_0^r(\lambda\partial_1) + tj_0^r(x^\alpha\partial_j))$. So, the lemma is clear. \square

Lemma 1.6. *Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. Denote $v_i := v_i(1)$ and $V_i^\alpha := V_i^\alpha(1)$. Then, given $q = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, we have*

$$A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = a^{(q)} v_2 \wedge v_3$$

for some (unique) real number $a^{(q)}$. Moreover, we have

$$A((x^1)^r \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = av_2 \wedge v_3 + bv_2 \wedge V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)} - bv_3 \wedge V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}$$

for some (unique) real numbers a and b .

Proof. Let $q \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$. Because of Lemma 1.5, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\lambda\partial_1)} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} a^{i,j}(\lambda) v_i(\lambda) \wedge v_j(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j,\alpha} b_\alpha^{i,j}(\lambda) v_i(\lambda) \wedge V_j^\alpha(\lambda) + \sum_{(i,\alpha) < (j,\beta)} c_{\alpha,\beta}^{i,j}(\lambda) V_i^\alpha(\lambda) \wedge V_j^\beta(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for some (unique) real numbers $a^{i,j}(\lambda), b_\alpha^{i,j}(\lambda), c_{\alpha,\beta}^{i,j}(\lambda)$ smoothly depending on λ (and depending on q), where $\sum_{i,j,\alpha}$ is the sum over all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $\alpha \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ with $|\alpha| \leq r$, and $\sum_{(i,\alpha) < (j,\beta)}$ is the sum over all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ with $|\alpha| \leq r$ and $|\beta| \leq r$ and $(i, \alpha) < (j, \beta)$. Here $(\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}) \times (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ is ordered lexicographically, i.e., $(i, \alpha) \leq (j, \beta)$ iff $i < j$ or $(i = j \text{ and } \alpha_1 < \beta_1)$ or $(i = j, \alpha_1 = \beta_1 \text{ and } \alpha_2 < \beta_2)$ or \dots or $(i = j, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} = \beta_{m-1} \text{ and } \alpha_m \leq \beta_m)$.

If $\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m \geq 1$, using the invariance of A with respect to (x^1, tx^2, \dots, tx^m) , we get $t^2 b_\alpha^{i,j}(\lambda) = t^s b_\alpha^{i,j}(\lambda)$ for some integer $s < 2$. Hence $b_\alpha^{i,j}(\lambda) = 0$ if $\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m \geq 1$. If $\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m = 0$ and $(i, j) \notin \{(2, 3), (3, 2)\}$, then (applying the invariance of A with respect to $(x^1, tx^2, \tau x^3, x^4, \dots, x^m)$) we get $b_{(\alpha_1, 0, \dots, 0)}^{i,j}(\lambda) = 0$. By almost the same arguments, if $\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_m \geq 1$ or $(i, j) \neq (2, 3)$, then $c_{\alpha,\beta}^{i,j}(\lambda) = 0$.

Similarly, by the invariance of A with respect to $(x^1, tx^2, \tau x^3, x^4, \dots, x^m)$, if $(i, j) \neq (2, 3)$, then $a^{i,j}(\lambda) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\lambda \partial_1)} &= a(\lambda) v_2(\lambda) \wedge v_3(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^r b_l(\lambda) v_2(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(l,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) + \sum_{l=0}^r c_l(\lambda) v_3(\lambda) \wedge V_2^{(l,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{l_1, l_2=0}^r d_{l_1, l_2}(\lambda) V_2^{(l_1,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(l_2,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for the (unique) real numbers $a(\lambda), b_l(\lambda), c_l(\lambda), d_{l_1, l_2}(\lambda)$ smoothly depending on λ (and depending on q).

Since $[\partial_2 + x^2 \partial_3, \partial_3] = 0$, there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map

$$\varphi = (x^1, \varphi^2(x^2, x^3), \varphi^3(x^2, x^3), x^4, \dots, x^m)$$

preserving 0 and x^1 and ∂_1 and (the germ at 0 of) ∂_3 and sending (the germ at 0 of) ∂_2 into (the germ at 0 of) $\partial_2 + x^2 \partial_3$. One can easily see that such φ preserves (the germ at 0 of) $(x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3$ (as $\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 = (\partial_2 + x^2 \partial_3) \wedge \partial_3$), $j_0^r(\lambda \partial_1)$, $v_2(\lambda)$ (as $\mathcal{J}^r((x^2 \partial_3)^C)|_{j_0^r(\lambda \partial_1)} = 0$ because of Lemma 1.4), $v_3(\lambda)$, $V_3^{(l,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda)$ and $V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda)$, and it sends $V_2^{(l,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda)$ into $V_2^{(l,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) + V_3^{(l,1,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda)$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$. Then using the invariance of A with respect to φ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} c_l(\lambda) v_3(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(l,1,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{l_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^r d_{l_1, l_2}(\lambda) V_3^{(l_1,1,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(l_2,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $c_l(\lambda) = 0$ for $l = 0, \dots, r-1$ and $d_{l_1, l_2} = 0$ for $l_1 = 0, \dots, r-1$ and $l_2 = 0, \dots, r$. Quite similarly, replacing 2 by 3 and vice-versa, we get $b_l(\lambda) = 0$ for $l = 0, \dots, r-1$ and $d_{l_1, l_2}(\lambda) = 0$ for $l_2 = 0, \dots, r-1$ and $l_1 = 0, \dots, r$. Moreover, $b_r(\lambda) = -c_r(\lambda)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\lambda \partial_1)} &= a(\lambda) v_2(\lambda) \wedge v_3(\lambda) \\ &+ b(\lambda) v_2(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) - b(\lambda) v_3(\lambda) \wedge V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \\ &+ c(\lambda) V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \wedge V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for the (unique) real numbers $a(\lambda), b(\lambda), c(\lambda)$ smoothly depending on λ (and depending on q). Then, using the invariance of A with respect to (tx^1, x^2, \dots, x^m) , we get $\frac{1}{t^q} b(t\lambda) = \frac{1}{t^r} b(\lambda)$ and $\frac{1}{t^q} c(t\lambda) = \frac{1}{t^{2r}} c(\lambda)$. Then $c(\lambda) = 0$ for $q = 0, \dots, r$, and $b(\lambda) = 0$ for $q = 0, \dots, r-1$. The lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 1.7. *Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. Then $A(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$.*

Proof. Since $j_0^r(\partial_2 + (x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2) = j_0^r(\partial_2)$, then (by Lemma 1.2) there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map

$$\varphi = (\varphi^1(x^1, x^2), \varphi^2(x^1, x^2), x^3, \dots, x^m)$$

preserving 0 and ∂_3 and sending the germ at 0 of ∂_2 into the germ at 0 of $\partial_2 + (x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2$ and such that $j_0^{r+1}\varphi = j_0^{r+1}(\text{id})$. Then φ preserves v_3 , $j_0^r(\partial_1)$ and it sends v_2 into $v_2 + (r+1)V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}$. Then by the invariance of A with respect to φ and Lemma 1.6, we get

$$A((x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = (r+1)a^{(0)}V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)} \wedge v_3.$$

Similarly, replacing 2 on 3 and vice-versa, we easily get

$$A((x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = (r+1)a^{(0)}v_2 \wedge V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)}.$$

Then $a^{(0)} = 0$. The lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 1.8. *Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. Then $A(f(x^1, x^2)\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$ for any smooth map $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $j_0^r(f) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be such that $j_0^r(f) = 0$. Since $j_0^r(\partial_2 + f(x^1, x^2)\partial_2) = j_0^r(\partial_2)$, then (by Lemma 1.2) there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map

$$\psi = (\psi^1(x^1, x^2), \psi^2(x^1, x^2), x^3, \dots, x^m)$$

preserving 0 and ∂_3 , and sending the germ at 0 of ∂_2 into the germ at 0 of $\partial_2 + f(x^1, x^2)\partial_2$ and such that $j_0^{r+1}(\psi) = j_0^{r+1}(\text{id})$ (then ψ preserves $j_0^r(\partial_1)$). Then using Lemma 1.7 and the invariance of A with respect to ψ from $A(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$, we get $A(\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3 + f(x^1, x^2)\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$. So, $A(f(x^1, x^2)\partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$. \square

2. Proof of the main result.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Let $m \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Consider an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural linear operator $A : \bigwedge^2 T \rightsquigarrow \bigwedge^2 T(J^r T)$. We are going to prove that $A = 0$. Because of Lemma 1.3 it is sufficient to prove that $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$ for $q = 0, \dots, r$.

Let $q \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. By Lemma 1.7, we may assume that $q \geq 1$. Since $j_0^r(\partial_2 + (x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2) = j_0^r(\partial_2)$, then (by Lemma 1.2) there exists an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -map

$$\varphi = (\varphi^1(x^1, x^2), \varphi^2(x^1, x^2), x^3, \dots, x^m)$$

preserving 0 and ∂_3 , and sending the germ at 0 of ∂_2 into the germ at 0 of $\partial_2 + (x^1)^{r+1}\partial_2$ and such that $j_0^{r+1}\varphi = j_0^{r+1}(\text{id})$. Then φ preserves ∂_3 , v_3 , $j_0^r(\partial_1)$, $V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}$, $V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)}$, and it sends v_2 into $v_2 + (r+1)V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)}$ (to see

this we propose to use Lemma 1.4) and it sends the germ at 0 of $(x^1)^q \partial_2$ into the germ at 0 of $(x^1)^q \partial_2 + f(x^1, x^2) \partial_2$ for some $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $j_0^r(f) = 0$.

If $q \leq r-1$, then by the invariance of A with respect to φ and Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.8, we get

$$0 = A(f(x^1, x^2) \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = (r+1)a^{(q)}V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)} \wedge v_3.$$

Then $a^{(q)} = 0$, and then $A((x^1)^q \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$.

If $q = r$, then by the invariance of A with respect to φ and Lemma 1.6 and Lemma 1.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A(f(x^1, x^2) \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} \\ &= (r+1)aV_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)} \wedge v_3 + b(r+1)V_2^{(r,0,\dots,0)} \wedge V_3^{(r,0,\dots,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, and then $A((x^1)^r \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)|_{j_0^r(\partial_1)} = 0$.

Hence $A = 0$ because of Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 0.1 is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Debecki, J., *Linear natural lifting p -vectors to tensors of type $(q, 0)$ on Weil bundles*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **66(141)** (2) (2016), 511–525.
- [2] Kolář, I, Michor, P. W., Slovák, J., *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] Mikulski, W. M., *The linear natural operators lifting 2-vector fields to some Weil bundles*, Note di Math. **19**(2) (1999), 213–217.

Jan Kurek
Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
Pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin
Poland
e-mail: kurek@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

Włodzimierz M. Mikulski
Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
ul. Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Cracow
Poland
e-mail: Wlodzimierz.Mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

Received December 24, 2020